

**February 17, 2016 EPA-CPG Mapping Meeting
Action Item List**

1. Segmentation of the River By Geomorphic Characteristics and Contaminant Stats
 - a. Consider joining groups using regression analyses on the mean concentrations (ANOVA model with 0, 1 variable for groups)
 - i. Look for variables with continuous coverage, e.g., shear-stress, bathymetry
 - b. Evaluate the group variances on a residual basis using above mean regression analysis
2. Conditional Simulation Refinements
 - a. Adjust centerline for river straightening
 - i. Check on level of effort first, as this adjustment is lower priority
 - ii. Consider defining along the thalweg
 - b. Continue normal scores evaluation
 - i. First try ln(residuals) after doing mean regression analysis in (1a) above, then switch to normal scores if still needed
3. Adjustments to the Variograms
 - a. Revisit RM10.9 variogram
 - i. on a residual basis
 - ii. on a normal scores basis
 - b. Look for options for developing lower river variogram (below RM 8)
 - i. Potentially use the 1995 data on a residuals basis
 - c. Look at options for developing a cross-channel variogram (anisotropy ratio)
 - d. Evaluate nugget effect
 - i. Review JK's analysis of nugget using RM10.9 data
 1. JK will send data and matlab code
 - ii. Develop nugget sensitivities
 1. Could simply add X% random noise if easier than redoing variogram
4. Mapping Subsurface Concentrations
 - a. Evaluate options for regression model for subsurface interpolation
 - b. Consider developing variogram in the subsurface
 - c. Calculate correlation between surface and subsurface samples – at a group level
 - d. (Defer evaluation of 3D kriging options for now)
 - e. Evaluate the suitability of channel bathymetric groupings for subsurface interpolation
5. Remedial Benefit Evaluation / CFT Model ICs
 - a. Characterize concentration variability across simulations on the scale of CFT model grid cells
 - b. Lay out options for developing a static footprint and assessing remedial benefit across simulations (assumes no new data will become available for design)
 - i. Average of simulations
 - ii. % exceedance of an RAL
 - c. Lay out options for evaluating footprints that vary by simulation (assumes higher density)

data will become available for design)

i. Incorporate a synthetic sampling approach

- d. Consider CFT model ICs that are consistent with above remedial footprint options
6. QC Diagnostics
- a. Add crossplots of simulation results and observed data at sample locations, to check that simulations are properly conditioned